

### Problem 6.17

From Eq. 6.20:  $\oint \mathbf{H} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = H(2\pi s) = I_{f_{\text{enc}}} = \begin{cases} I(s^2/a^2), & (s < a); \\ I & (s > a). \end{cases}$

$$H = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{Is}{2\pi a^2}, \quad (s < a) \\ \frac{I}{2\pi s}, \quad (s > a) \end{array} \right\}, \quad \text{so } B = \mu H = \boxed{\begin{cases} \frac{\mu_0(1+\chi_m)Is}{2\pi a^2}, & (s < a); \\ \frac{\mu_0 I}{2\pi s}, & (s > a). \end{cases}}$$

$\mathbf{J}_b = \chi_m \mathbf{J}_f$  (Eq. 6.33), and  $J_f = \frac{I}{\pi a^2}$ , so  $\boxed{J_b = \frac{\chi_m I}{\pi a^2}}$  (same direction as  $I$ ).

$\mathbf{K}_b = \mathbf{M} \times \hat{\mathbf{n}} = \chi_m \mathbf{H} \times \hat{\mathbf{n}} \Rightarrow \boxed{\mathbf{K}_b = \frac{\chi_m I}{2\pi a}}$  (opposite direction to  $I$ ).

$I_b = J_b(\pi a^2) + K_b(2\pi a) = \chi_m I - \chi_m I = \boxed{0}$  (as it *should* be, of course).

### Problem 6.24

(a) Forces on the upper charge:

$$\mathbf{F}_q = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{q^2}{z^2} \hat{\mathbf{z}}, \quad \mathbf{F}_m = \nabla(\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{B}) = \nabla \left( m \frac{2\mu_0 m}{4\pi z^3} \right) = \frac{\mu_0 m^2}{2\pi} \left( \frac{-3}{z^4} \right) \hat{\mathbf{z}}.$$

At equilibrium,

$$\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{q^2}{z^2} = \frac{3\mu_0 m^2}{2\pi z^4} \Rightarrow z^2 = \frac{6\mu_0\epsilon_0 m^2}{q^2} \Rightarrow z = \boxed{\sqrt{6} \frac{m}{qc}},$$

where  $1/\sqrt{\epsilon_0\mu_0} = c$ , the speed of light.

(b) For electrons,  $q = 1.6 \times 10^{-19}$  C (actually, it's the *magnitude* of the charge we want in the expression above), and  $m = 9.22 \times 10^{-24}$  A m<sup>2</sup> (the Bohr magneton—see Problem 5.58), so

$$z = \sqrt{6} \frac{9.22 \times 10^{-24}}{(1.6 \times 10^{-19})(3 \times 10^8)} = \boxed{4.72 \times 10^{-13} \text{ m.}}$$

(For comparison, the Bohr radius is  $0.5 \times 10^{-10}$  m, so the equilibrium separation is about 1% of the size of a hydrogen atom.)

(c) Good question! Certainly the answer is  no. Presumably this is an unstable equilibrium, so unless you could find a way to maintain the orientation of the dipoles, and keep them on the  $z$  axis, the structure would

### Problem 6.27

At the interface, the perpendicular component of  $\mathbf{B}$  is continuous (Eq. 6.26), and the parallel component of  $\mathbf{H}$  is continuous (Eq. 6.25 with  $\mathbf{K}_f = 0$ ). So  $B_1^\perp = B_2^\perp$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_1^\parallel = \mathbf{H}_2^\parallel$ . But  $\mathbf{B} = \mu\mathbf{H}$  (Eq. 6.31), so  $\frac{1}{\mu_1}\mathbf{B}_1^\parallel = \frac{1}{\mu_2}\mathbf{B}_2^\parallel$ . Now  $\tan \theta_1 = B_1^\parallel/B_1^\perp$ , and  $\tan \theta_2 = B_2^\parallel/B_2^\perp$ , so

$$\frac{\tan \theta_2}{\tan \theta_1} = \frac{B_2^\parallel}{B_2^\perp} \frac{B_1^\perp}{B_1^\parallel} = \frac{B_2^\parallel}{B_1^\parallel} = \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1}$$

(the same form, though for different reasons, as Eq. 4.68).